

# ON ELEMENTARY EQUIVALENCE, ISOMORPHISM AND ISOGENY OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTION FIELDS

PETE L. CLARK

**ABSTRACT.** Motivated by recent work of Florian Pop, we study the connections between three notions of equivalence of function fields: isomorphism, elementary equivalence, and the condition that each of a pair of fields can be embedded in the other, which we call isogeny. Some of our results are purely geometric: we give an isogeny classification of Severi-Brauer varieties and quadric surfaces. These results are applied to deduce new instances of “elementary equivalence implies isomorphism”: for all genus zero curves over a number field, and for certain genus one curves over a number field, including some which are not elliptic curves.

Notation: For a field  $k$ ,  $\bar{k}$  denotes a fixed choice of separable algebraic closure of  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  denotes the absolute Galois group of  $k$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The elementary equivalence versus isomorphism problem.** A fundamental problem in arithmetic algebraic geometry is to classify varieties over a field  $k$  up to birational equivalence, i.e., to classify finitely generated field extensions  $K/k$  up to isomorphism. On the other hand, there is the model-theoretic notion of elementary equivalence of fields – written as  $K_1 \equiv K_2$  – i.e., coincidence of their first-order theories. Model theorists well know that elementary equivalence is considerably coarser than isomorphism: for any infinite field  $F$  there exist fields of all cardinalities elementarily equivalent to  $F$  as well as infinitely many isomorphism classes of countable fields elementarily equivalent to  $F$ .

However, the fields elementarily equivalent to a given field  $F$  produced by standard model-theoretic methods (Lowenheim-Skolem, ultraproducts) tend to be rather large: e.g., any field elementarily equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}$  has infinite absolute transcendence degree [Jensen-Lenz]. It is more interesting to ask about the class of fields elementarily equivalent to a given field and satisfying some sort of finiteness condition. This leads us to the following

**Question 1.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be function fields with respect to a field  $k$ . Does  $K_1 \equiv K_2 \implies K_1 \cong K_2$ ?*

On the model-theoretic side, we work in the language of fields and *not* in the language of  $k$ -algebras – i.e., symbols for the elements of  $k \setminus \{0, 1\}$  are not included in our alphabet. However, in the geometric study of function fields one certainly does want to work in the category of  $k$ -algebras. This turns out not to be a serious obstacle, but requires certain circumlocutions about function fields, which are given below.

By a **function field with respect to  $k$**  we mean a field  $K$  for which there exists a finitely generated field homomorphism  $\iota : k \rightarrow K$  such that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K$ , but the *choice* of a particular  $\iota$  is not given. Rather, such a choice of  $\iota$  is said to give a  **$k$ -structure** on  $K$ , and we use the customary notation  $K/k$  to indicate a function field endowed with a particular  $k$ -structure. Suppose that  $\varphi : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  is a field embedding of function fields with respect to  $k$ . If  $k$  has the property that every field homomorphism  $k \rightarrow k$  is an isomorphism – and fields of absolute transcendence degree zero have this property – then we can choose  $k$ -structures compatibly on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  making  $\varphi$  into a morphism of  $k$ -algebras: indeed, take an arbitrary  $k$ -structure  $\iota_1 : k \rightarrow K_1$  and *define*  $\iota_2 = \varphi \circ \iota_1$ .

Question 1 was first considered for one-dimensional function fields over an algebraically closed base field by Duret (with subsequent related work by Pierce), and for arbitrary function fields over a base field which is either algebraically closed or a finite extension of the prime subfield (i.e., a finite field or a number field) by Florian Pop. They obtained the following results:

**Theorem 1.** ([Duret], [Pierce]) *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $K_1, K_2$  be one-variable function fields with respect to  $k$  such that  $K_1 \equiv K_2$ .*

- a) If the genus of  $K_1$  is different from 1, then  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*
- b) If the genus of  $K_1$  is one, then so also is the genus of  $K_2$ , and the corresponding elliptic curves admit two isogenies of relatively prime degrees.*

(Duret's work was formulated in the language of  $k$ -algebras; however, when  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $k$  is definable in  $K$ , and the distinction is not as critical as in the present case.)

The conclusion of part b) also allows us to deduce that  $K_1 \cong K_2$  in most cases, e.g. when the corresponding elliptic curve  $E_1/k$  has  $\text{End}(E_1) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

The **absolute subfield** of a field  $K$  is the algebraic closure of the prime subfield ( $\mathbb{F}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) in  $K$ . It is easy to see that two elementarily equivalent fields must have isomorphic absolute subfields.

**Theorem 2.** ([Pop]) *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be two function fields with respect to an algebraically closed field  $k$  such that  $K_1 \equiv K_2$ . Then:*

- a)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have the same transcendence degree over  $k$ .*
- b) If  $K_1$  is of **general type**,  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*

We recall that having general type means that for a corresponding projective model  $V/k$  with  $k(V) = K$ , the linear system given by a sufficiently large positive multiple of the canonical class gives a birational embedding of  $V$  into projective space. For curves, having general type means precisely that the genus is at least two, so Theorem 2 does not subsume but rather complements Theorem 1.

Pop obtains even stronger results (using the recent affirmative solution of the Milnor conjecture on  $K$ -theory and quadratic forms) in the case of finitely generated function fields.

**Theorem 3.** ([Pop]) *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be two finitely generated fields with  $K_1 \equiv K_2$ . Then there exist field homomorphisms  $\iota : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  and  $\iota' : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ . In particular,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have the same absolute transcendence degree.*

Let  $K$  be a finitely generated field with absolute subfield isomorphic to  $k$ . Via a choice of  $k$ -structure,  $K/k$  is the field of rational functions of a reduced, geometrically irreducible projective variety  $V/k$ .

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $K_1/k$  be a function field of general type over either a number field or a finite field. Then any finitely generated field which is elementarily equivalent to  $K_1$  is isomorphic to it.*

Proof: By Theorem 3, there are field homomorphisms  $\varphi_1 : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  and  $\varphi_2 : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ , so  $\Phi = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$  gives a field homomorphism from  $K_1$  to itself. If we choose  $k$ -structures  $\iota_i : k \hookrightarrow K_i$ , on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , then it need not be true that  $\Phi$  gives a  $k$ -automorphism. But since  $k$  is a finite extension of its prime subfield  $k_0$ ,  $\text{Aut}(k/k_0)$  is finite, and some power of  $\varphi$  induces the identity automorphism of  $k$ . In other words, there exists a dominant rational self-map  $\Phi : V/k \rightarrow V/k$ . By a theorem of [Iitaka], when  $V$  has general type such a map must be birational.

**1.2. Isogeny of function fields.** Thus Theorem 3 is “as good as” Theorem 2. But actually is better, in that one can immediately deduce that elementary equivalence implies isomorphism from a weaker hypothesis than general type.

Definition: We say that two fields  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are **field-isogenous** if there exist field homomorphisms  $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  and  $K_2 \rightarrow K_1$  and denote this relation by  $K_1 \sim K_2$ . If for a field  $K_1$  we have  $K_1 \sim K_2 \implies K_1 \cong K_2$ , we say  $K_1$  is **field-isolated**. If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are function fields with respect to  $k$ , they are  **$k$ -isogenous**, denoted  $K_1 \sim_k K_2$ , if for some choice of  $k$ -structure  $\iota_1$  on  $K_1$  and  $\iota_2$  on  $K_2$ , there exist  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\varphi_1 : K_1/k \rightarrow K_2/k$  and  $\varphi_2 : K_2/k \rightarrow K_1/k$ .  $K_1$  to  $K_2$ . We say  $K_1$  is  **$k$ -isolated** if  $K_1 \sim_k K_2 \implies K_1 \cong K_2$ . Finally, if  $K_1/k$  and  $K_2/k$  are  $k$ -algebras, we say  $K_1$  is **isogenous** to  $K_2$  if there exist  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\varphi_1 : K_1 \rightarrow K_2, \varphi_2 : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ .

The distinction between field-isogeny and  $k$ -isogeny is a slightly unpleasant technicality. It is really the notion of isogeny of  $k$ -algebras which is the most natural (i.e., the most geometric), whereas for the problem of elementary equivalence versus isomorphism, Theorem 3 gives us field-isogeny. There are several ways around this dichotomy. The most extreme is to restrict attention to base fields  $k$  without nontrivial automorphisms, the so-called **rigid fields**. These include  $\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Q}$  and “most” number fields. In this case, all  $k$ -structures are unique and we get the following generalization of Corollary 5.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $K$  be a function field with respect to its absolute subfield  $k$  and assume that  $k$  is rigid. Then if  $K$  is  $k$ -isolated, any finitely generated field elementarily equivalent to  $K$  is isomorphic to  $K$ .*

The assumption of a rigid base is of course a loss of generality (which is not necessary, as will shortly become clear), but it allows us to concentrate on the purely geometric problem of classifying function fields  $K/k$  up to isogeny. In particular, which function fields are isolated? Which have finite isogeny classes?

We make some general comments on the notion of isogeny of function fields:

a) The terminology is borrowed from the arithmetic theory of abelian varieties: indeed if  $K_1, K_2$  are function fields of principally polarized abelian varieties  $A_1, A_2$ , then they are isogenous in the above sense if and only if there is a surjective homomorphism of group schemes with finite kernel  $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  (the point being that in this case there is a canonical (dual) isogeny  $\varphi^\vee : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ ).

b) By a **model**  $V/k$  for a function field  $K/k$ , we mean a *nonsingular* projective variety with  $k(V) \cong K$ . Thus the assertion that every function field has a model relies on resolution of singularities, which is known at present for transcendence degree at most two in all characteristics (Zariski, Abhyankar) and in arbitrary dimension in characteristic zero (Hironaka). We must emphasize that none of our results – with the single exception of Proposition 2d), which is itself not used in any later result – are conditional on resolution of singularities. On the one hand, we are almost entirely concerned with function fields of dimension at most two *and* of characteristic zero; but more fundamentally, the function fields considered here are given *a priori* as function fields of nonsingular projective varieties.

We can express the notion of isogeny of two function fields  $K_1/k$  and  $K_2/k$  in terms of any models  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  by saying that there are generically finite *rational* maps  $\iota : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  and  $\iota' : V_2 \rightarrow V_1$ .

As usual in geometric classification problems, the easiest way to show that two fields  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are not isogenous is not to argue directly but rather to find some *invariant* that distinguishes between them. It turns out that the isogeny invariants we use are actually field-isogeny invariants.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $k$  be a field. The following properties of a function field  $K/k$  are isogeny invariants. Moreover, when  $K$  is a function field with respect to its absolute subfield  $k$ , then they are also field-isogeny invariants.*

- a) *The transcendence degree of  $K/k$ .*
- b) *When  $k$  has characteristic zero, the Kodaira dimension of a model  $V/k$  for  $K$ .*
- c) *For any model  $V/k$  of  $K$ , the rational points  $V(k)$  are Zariski-dense.*
- d) *(assuming resolution of singularities) For any nonsingular model  $V/k$  of  $K$ , there exists a  $k$ -rational point.*

Proof: Part a) follows from the basic theory of transcendence bases. As for part b), the first thing to say is that it is *false* in characteristic 2: there are unirational K3 surfaces [Bombieri-Mumford]. However in characteristic zero, if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a generically finite rational map of algebraic varieties, then the Kodaira dimension of  $Y$  is at most the Kodaira dimension of  $X$ . Moreover, the Kodaira dimension is independent of the choice of  $k$ -structure. For part c), If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a generically finite rational map of  $k$ -varieties and the rational points on  $X$  are Zariski-dense, then so too are the rational points on  $Y$ , so the Zariski-density of the rational points is an isogeny invariant. Moreover, if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $k$ , then the natural map  $V \rightarrow V^\sigma = V \times_\sigma k$  is an isomorphism of abstract schemes which induces a continuous bijection  $V(k) \rightarrow V^\sigma(k)$ . It follows that the Zariski-density of the rational points is independent of the choice of  $k$ -structure. For the last part, we recall the theorem of [Nishimura] that the condition of having a simple  $k$ -rational point

is a birational invariant of complete varieties; moreover, as above, this condition is independent of the choice of  $k$ -structure, so it suffices to consider  $K_1/k \rightarrow K_2/k$  an embedding of function fields over  $k$ . We can choose complete, *normal* models  $V_1/k$  and  $V_2/k$  for  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $V_2 \rightarrow V_1$  is a morphism of varieties, but unfortunately a simple  $k$ -rational point  $P$  on  $V_2$  could map to a nonsimple  $k$ -rational point on  $V_1$ . However, assuming resolution of singularities, let  $V_1/k$  be a nonsingular projective model of  $K_1/k$  and let  $V_2$  be the normalization of  $V_1$  in  $K_2$ , so  $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a morphism of  $k$ -varieties. By our assumption and by Nishimura's theorem,  $V_1$  has a  $k$ -rational point, which maps to a  $k$ -rational point on  $V_2$ .

The “invariants” of Proposition 2 are really only useful in analyzing the isogeny classes of varieties  $V/k$  without  $k$ -rational points. For instance, two elliptic function fields  $\mathbb{Q}(E_1)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(E_2)$  have the same invariants a), b), c), d) if and only if the groups  $E_1(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $E_2(\mathbb{Q})$  are both finite or both infinite: this is a feeble way to try to show that two elliptic curves are not isogenous!

**1.3. The Brauer kernel.** In addition to the isogeny invariants of the previous subsection, we introduce another class of invariants of a function field  $k(V)$ , *a priori* trivial if  $V(k) \neq \emptyset$ , and having the advantage that their elementary nature is evident (rather than relying on the recent proof of the Milnor conjecture): the Brauer kernel.

Let  $V/k$  be a (complete nonsingular, geometrically irreducible, as always) variety over any field  $k$ , and recall the exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}(V/k)(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Br}(k) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathrm{Br}(k(V))$$

where  $\mathrm{Pic}(V)$  denotes the Picard group of line bundles on the  $k$ -scheme  $V$  and  $\mathbf{Pic}(V/k)$  denotes the group scheme representing the sheafified Picard group, so that in particular  $\mathbf{Pic}(V)(k) = \mathrm{Pic}(V/\bar{k})^{\mathfrak{g}_k}$  gives the group of geometric line bundles which are linearly equivalent to each of their Galois conjugates. The map  $\alpha$  gives the obstruction to a  $k$ -rational divisor class coming from a  $k$ -rational divisor, which lies in the Brauer group of  $k$ . One way to derive (1) is from the Leray spectral sequence associated to the étale sheaf  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and the morphism of étale sites induced by the structure map  $V \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ . For details on this, see [Grothendieck].

We denote by  $\kappa = \ker(\beta) = \mathrm{image}(\alpha)$  the **Brauer kernel** of  $V$ . Some of its useful properties are: since a  $k$ -rational point on  $V$  defines a splitting of  $\beta$ ,  $V(k) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\kappa = 0$ . Moreover, since it is defined in terms of the function field  $k(V)$ , it is a birational invariant of  $V$ . The subgroup  $\kappa$  depends on the  $k$ -structure on  $k(V)$  as follows: if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $k$ , then the Brauer kernel of  $V^\sigma = V \times_\sigma k$  is  $\sigma(\kappa)$ . If  $k$  is a finite field,  $\kappa = 0$  (since  $\mathrm{Br}(k) = 0$ ).

If  $k$  is a number field, then  $\kappa$  is a finite group, being an image of the finitely generated group  $\mathbf{Pic}(V)(k)$  in the torsion group  $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ . Moreover the Galois conjugacy class of  $\kappa \subset \mathrm{Br}(k)$  is an elementary invariant of  $K = k(V)$ : knowing the conjugacy class of  $\kappa$  is equivalent to knowing which finite-dimensional simple  $k$ -algebras  $B$  (up to conjugacy) become isomorphic to matrix algebras in  $K$ . But if  $[B : k] = n$ ,  $B \otimes_k K$  can be interpreted in  $K$  (up to  $\mathfrak{g}_k$ -conjugacy) via a choice of

a  $k$ -basis  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  of  $B$  and  $n^3$  structure constants  $c_{ij}^l \in k$  coming from the equations  $b_i \cdot b_j = \sum_{l=1}^n c_{ij}^l b_l$  and the  $c_{ij}^l$  themselves represented in terms of the minimal polynomial for a generator of  $k/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then we can write down the statement that  $B \otimes_k K \cong M_n(K)$  as the existence of an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix  $A$  with nonzero determinant and such that  $A(b_i \cdot b_j) = A(b_i) \cdot A(b_j)$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .<sup>1</sup>

Moreover, for any finite extension  $l/k$ , the conjugacy class of the Brauer kernel of  $V/l$  (which can be nontrivial even when  $\kappa(V/k) = 0$ ) is again an elementary invariant of  $k(V)$ .

If  $k(V_1) \rightarrow k(V_2)$  is an embedding of function fields, then clearly  $\kappa(V_1) \subset \kappa(V_2)$ . It follows that the Brauer kernel is an isogeny invariant, and the Galois-conjugacy class of the Brauer kernel is a field-isogeny invariant.

**1.4. Statement of results.** We begin with a result relating isomorphism, isogeny, Brauer kernels and elementary equivalence of function fields of certain geometrically rational varieties.

**Theorem 7.** *For any field  $k$  and any positive integer  $n$ , let  $SB_n$  be the set of function fields of Severi-Brauer varieties of dimension  $n$  over  $k$  and  $Q_n$  the class of function fields of quadric hypersurfaces of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ .*

*a) Let  $K_1, K_2 \in SB_n$  be cyclic elements.<sup>2</sup> The following are equivalent:*

- i)  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*
- ii)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isogenous (as  $k$ -algebras).*
- iii)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have equal Brauer kernels.*

*b) If  $K_1, K_2 \in Q_n$ ,  $n \leq 2$  and the characteristic of  $k$  is not two, the following are equivalent:*

- i)  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*
- ii)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isogenous (as  $k$ -algebras).*
- iii)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have equal Brauer kernels, and for every quadratic extension  $l/k$ ,  $lK_1$  and  $lK_2$  have equal Brauer kernels.*

*c) Let  $K_1 \in SB_n$  and  $K_2 \in Q_n$ ,  $n > 1$ . Assume the characteristic of  $k$  is not two. The following are equivalent:*

- i)  $K_1 \cong K_2 \cong k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  are rational function fields.*
- ii)  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*
- iii)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isogenous.*
- iv)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have equal Brauer kernels.*

**Corollary 8.** *Suppose  $k$  is algebraic over its prime subfield. Let  $K_1 \equiv K_2$  be two function fields satisfying the hypotheses of part a), part b) or part c) of the theorem. Then  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .*

Proof of the corollary: By the discussion of Section 1.3, the elementary equivalence of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  imply that their Brauer kernels are Galois conjugate. It follows that for any choice of  $k$ -structure on  $K_1$ , there exists a unique  $k$ -structure on  $K_2$  such

<sup>1</sup>In fact one can see that the conjugacy class of the Brauer kernel is an elementary invariant whenever  $k$  is merely algebraic over its prime subfield.

<sup>2</sup>A Severi-Brauer variety  $X/k$  is said to be cyclic if its corresponding division algebra  $D/k$  has a maximal commutative subfield  $l$  such that  $l/k$  is a cyclic (Galois) extension.

that we have  $\kappa(K_1/k) = \kappa(K_2/k)$ . The theorem then implies that  $K_1 \cong_k K_2$  as  $k$ -algebras with this choice of  $k$ -structure; *a fortiori* they are isomorphic as abstract fields.

Remarks:

- When  $n = 1$ ,  $SB_1 = Q_1$  and this class can be described equally well in terms of genus zero curves, quaternion algebras and ternary quadratic forms. The essential content of the theorem when  $n = 1$  is that the Brauer kernel of a genus zero curve which is not  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is cyclic of order two, generated by the corresponding quaternion algebra (Proposition 15). This fundamental result was first proved by [Witt].
- It is well-known that the cyclicity hypothesis is satisfied for all elements of the Brauer group of a local or global field and for any field when  $n \leq 2$ . The hypothesis that  $K_1$  corresponds to a cyclic algebra can be weakened: it is enough to consider Severi-Brauer varieties corresponding to a solvable crossed-product algebra [Roquette] or of various small degrees [Krashen]. Assuming a conjecture of Amitsur – see Theorem 16c) – part a) of the theorem is valid for all Severi-Brauer function fields.
- The equivalence of bi) and bii) was first shown by [Ohm], using results of Cassels-Pfister and Wadsworth from the algebraic theory of quadratic forms. (Ohm shows more, giving necessary and sufficient conditions for one element of  $Q_2$  to embed in another.) The present author independently found a similar proof. On the other hand, by a Galois-cohomological study of the twisted forms of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  we give geometric proofs of these two theorems and deduce also part iii) concerning Brauer kernels. We emphasize that since the conjugacy class of the Brauer kernel is “obviously” an elementary invariant, the instances of elementary equivalence implies isomorphism stated in Theorem 8 are independent of Theorem 3 (and in particular of the Milnor conjecture).
- The equivalence of i) and ii) in part b) is known also for  $n = 3$  by combining work of [Ahmad-Ohm] and [Hoffmann] – see [Ohm] – but apparently not for all quadric hypersurfaces in any higher dimension, even over the rational numbers. Condition iii) in b) is certainly false when  $n \geq 3$ : the Brauer kernels of such quadrics are zero, a fact which is used in part c). (I owe this simple but useful observation to Ambrus Pal.)

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $k$  be a number field and  $K$  a genus zero, one-variable function field with respect to  $k$ . Then any finitely generated field elementarily equivalent to  $K$  is isomorphic to  $K$ .*

Proof of the Corollary: Let  $L$  be a finitely generated field such that  $L \equiv K$ . Theorem C applies to show that there exist field embeddings  $\iota_1 : L \hookrightarrow K$  and  $\iota_2 : K \hookrightarrow L$ . By an appropriate choice of  $k$ -structures, we may view  $\iota_2$  as a  $k$ -algebra morphism, hence corresponding to a morphism of algebraic curves  $C_K \rightarrow C_L$ . By Riemann-Hurwitz,  $C_L$  has genus zero, so the result follows from Corollary 4.

Remark: The proof of Corollary 5 is valid for all fields  $k$  finitely generated over their prime subfield, i.e., it works also when  $k$  is a finite field. However, every genus zero curve over a finite field is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , so in this case the result follows

immediately from Theorem 3.

Unfortunately the proof of Corollary 5 does not carry over to higher-dimensional rational function fields. when  $n = 1$ . Indeed, consider the case of  $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_n) = k(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , a rational function field. Then the isogeny class of  $K$  is precisely the class of  $n$ -variable function fields which are unirational over  $k$ . When  $n = 1$  every  $k$ -unirational function field is  $k$ -rational, as is clear from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula and the proof of Corollary 9 (and is well known in any case, “Luroth’s theorem”). If  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, then  $k$ -unirational surfaces are  $k$ -rational, an often-noted consequence of the classification of complex algebraic surfaces [Hartshorne, V.2.6.1]. However, for most non-algebraically closed fields this is false, as follows from work of Segre and Manin. Indeed, let  $K = k(S)$  be the function field of a cubic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Then  $K$  is unirational over  $k$  if and only if for any model  $S$ ,  $S(k) \neq \emptyset$  ([Manin 12.11]; recall that all our varieties are smooth). So for all  $a \in k^\times$ , the cubic surface

$$S_a : x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + ax_3^3 = 0$$

is unirational over  $k$ . Segre showed that  $S_a$  is  $k$ -rational if and only if  $a \in k^{\times 3}$ ; this was sharpened considerably by [Manin, p. 184] to:  $k(S_a) \cong k(S_b)$  if and only if  $a/b \in k^{\times 3}$ . Thus for any field in which the group of cube classes  $k^\times/k^{\times 3}$  is infinite, the isogeny class of  $k(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is infinite.

As mentioned above, the isogeny invariants we have introduced here can be useful only in classes of varieties for which  $V(k) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $k(V)$  is somehow “trivial.” One way to make this precise is to define an  $n$ -dimensional variety  $V/k$  to be **prerational** if, for all field extensions  $l/k$ ,  $V(l) \neq \emptyset \implies l(V) \cong l(\mathbb{P}^n)$ . Is it possible that isogenous prerational varieties must be birationally equivalent?

Among one-dimensional arithmetic function fields, Question 1 is open only for genus one curves. By exploring the notion of an “isogenous pair of genus one curves” and adapting the argument of [Pierce] in our arithmetic context, we are able to show that elementary equivalence implies isomorphism for certain genus one function fields.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $K = k(C)$  be the function field of a genus one curve over a number field  $k$ , with Jacobian elliptic curve  $J(C)$ . Suppose all of the following hold:*

- $J(C)$  does not have complex multiplication over  $\bar{k}$ .
- Either  $J(C)$  is  $k$ -isolated or  $J(C)(k)$  is a finite group.
- The order of  $C$  in  $H^1(J(C), k)$  is 1, 2, 3, 4, or 6.

*Then any finitely generated field elementarily equivalent to  $K$  is isomorphic to  $K$ .*

It goes without saying that this result is very far from a definitive treatment of the genus one case. Nevertheless the theorem provides evidence, convincing at least to the author, that the answer to Question 1 ought to be “yes” for all one-variable function fields over a number field.

Acknowledgements: The elementary equivalence versus isomorphism problem was the topic of a lecture series and student project led by Florian Pop at the 2003 Arizona Winter School. In particular Corollary 8 and Theorem 10 address questions



posed by Professor Pop. It is a pleasure to acknowledge stimulating conversations with Pop and many other mathematicians at the Arizona conference, among them Abhinav Kumar, Janak Ramakrishnan, Bjorn Poonen and Soroosh Yazdani. The relevance of Galois cohomology and Brauer groups to the classification of quadric surfaces was suggested to me by Ambrus Pal. This was only one of several geometric insights he shared with me over the 2003-2004 academic year, and I am grateful for all of them.

## 2. CURVES OF GENUS ZERO

The key to the case  $n = 1$  in Theorem 8 is the following classical (but still not easy) result computing the Brauer kernel of a genus zero curve.

**Theorem 11.** (*[Witt]*) *Let  $C/k$  be a genus zero curve over an arbitrary field  $k$ . The Brauer kernel of  $k(C)$  is trivial if and only if  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . Otherwise  $\kappa(k(C)) = \{1, B_C\}$  with  $B_C$  a quaternion algebra over  $k$ . Moreover the assignment  $C \mapsto B_C$  gives a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of genus zero curves without  $k$ -rational points to the set of isomorphism classes of division quaternion algebras over  $k$ .*

If we grant this result of Witt, the proof of Theorem 8 for function fields of genus zero curves follows immediately: the Brauer kernel of a genus zero curve classifies the curve up to isomorphism (and hence its function field up to  $k$ -algebra isomorphism). Moreover, the Brauer kernel is an isogeny invariant, so genus zero curves are isogenous if and only if they are isomorphic.

We remark that Witt's theorem gives something even a bit stronger than the  $k$ -isolation of the function field of a genus zero curve: it shows that a  $C_1/k$  is a genus zero curve without  $k$ -rational point is not dominated by any nonisomorphic genus zero curve.

We give two “modern” approaches to Witt's theorem: via Severi-Brauer varieties and via quadratic forms. We admit that part of our goal is expository: we want to bring out the analogy between the Brauer group (of division algebras) and the Witt ring (of quadratic forms) of a field  $k$  and especially between two beautiful theorems, of Amitsur on the Brauer group side and of Cassels-Pfister on the Witt ring side.

## 3. SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

Since the automorphism groups of  $M_n(k)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(k)$  are both  $PGL_{n+1}(k)$ , Galois descent gives a correspondence between twisted forms of  $M_n(k)$  – the central simple  $k$ -algebras – and twisted forms of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , the Severi-Brauer varieties of dimension  $n - 1$ . In particular, to each Severi-Brauer variety  $V/k$  we can associate a class  $[V]$  in the Brauer group of  $k$ , such that two Severi-Brauer varieties of the same dimension  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are *isomorphic* over  $k$  if and only if  $[V_1] = [V_2] \in Br(k)$ .

As for the birational geometry of Severi-Brauer varieties, we have the following result.

**Theorem 12.** (*[Amitsur]*) *Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two Severi-Brauer varieties of equal dimension over a field  $k$ , and for  $i = 1, 2$  let  $K_i = k(V_i)$  be the corresponding function field, the so-called **generic splitting field** of  $V_i$ .*

- a) The subgroup  $Br(K_1/k)$  of division algebras split by  $K_1$  is generated by  $[V_1]$ .
- b) It follows that if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are  $k$ -birational, then  $[V_1]$  and  $[V_2]$  generate the same cyclic subgroup of  $Br(k)$ .
- c) If the division algebra representative for  $V_1$  has a maximal commutative subfield which is a cyclic Galois extension of  $k$ , then the converse holds: if  $[V_1]$  and  $[V_2]$  generate the same subgroup of  $Br(k)$ , then  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are  $k$ -birational.

Amitsur conjectured that the last part of this theorem should remain valid for all division algebras. As mentioned above, there has been some progress on this up to the present day ([Krashen]), but the general case remains open.

Proof of Theorem 8 for cyclic Severi-Brauer varieties: let  $V_1/k$  and  $V_2/k$  be cyclic Severi-Brauer varieties of dimension  $n$ . By Amitsur's theorem,  $\kappa(V_1) = \kappa(V_2)$  if and only if  $k(V_1) \cong_k k(V_2)$ . As in the one-dimensional case, it follows that each of these conditions is equivalent to  $k(V_1)$  and  $k(V_2)$  being isogenous (as  $k$ -algebras) and in case  $k$  is the absolute subfield of  $k(V_1)$  and  $k(V_2)$ , to  $k(V_1) \equiv k(V_2)$  as fields.

#### 4. QUADRIC HYPERSURFACES

In this section the characteristic of  $k$  is different from 2. Our second approach to Witt's theorem is via the quadratic form(s) associated to a genus zero curve.

**4.1. Background on quadratic forms.** We are going to briefly review some vocabulary and results of quadratic forms; everything we need can be found in the wonderful books [Lam] and [Scharlau]. We assume familiarity with the notions of anisotropic, isotropic and hyperbolic quadratic forms, as well as with the Witt ring  $W(k)$ , which plays the role of the Brauer group here: it classifies quadratic forms up to a convenient equivalence relation so that the equivalence classes form a group, and every element of  $W(k)$  has a unique "smallest" representative, an anisotropic quadratic form.

The correspondence between genus zero curves over  $k$  and quaternion algebras over a field of characteristic different from two is easy to make explicit: to a quaternion algebra  $B/k$  we associate the **ternary quadratic form** given by the reduced norm on the trace zero subspace (of "pure quaternions") of  $B$ . In coordinates, the correspondence is as follows:

$$\left(\frac{a, b}{k}\right) = 1 \cdot k \oplus i \cdot k \oplus j \cdot k \oplus ij \cdot k \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{a,b} : aX^2 + bY^2 - abZ^2 = 0.$$

By Witt cancellation, it would amount to the same to consider the quadratic form given by the reduced norm on all of  $B$ ; this quaternary quadratic form has diagonal matrix  $\langle 1, a, b, -ab \rangle$ .

On the other hand, the equivalence class of the ternary quadratic form is *not* well-determined by the isomorphism class of the curve, for the simple reason that we could scale the defining equation of  $\mathcal{C}_{a,b}$  by any  $c \in k^\times$ , which would change the ternary quadratic form to  $\langle -ca, -cb, cab \rangle$ . Thus at best the **similarity class** of the quadratic form is well-determined by the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{C}_{a,b}$ , and, as we shall see shortly, this does turn out to be well-defined. Recall that the **discriminant** of a quadratic form is defined as the determinant of any associated matrix, and that this quantity is well-defined as an element of  $k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ . It follows that for any

form  $q$  of odd rank, there is a unique form similar to  $q$  with any given discriminant  $d \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ . In particular, in odd rank each similarity class contains a unique form with discriminant 1, which we will call “normalized”; this leads us to consider the specific ternary form  $q_B = \langle -a, -b, ab \rangle$ . Moreover, to a quadratic form  $q$  of any rank we can associate its **Witt invariant**  $c(q)$ , which is a quaternion algebra over  $k$ . This is almost but not quite the **Hasse invariant**

$$s(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = \sum_{i < j} (a_i, a_j) \in Br(k)$$

but rather a small variation, given e.g. by the following *ad hoc* modifications:<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} c(q) &= s(q), \text{ rank}(q) \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8}, \\ c(q) &= s(q) + (-1, -d(q)), \text{ rank}(q) \equiv 3, 4 \pmod{8}, \\ c(q) &= s(q) + (-1, -1), \text{ rank}(q) \equiv 5, 6 \pmod{8}, \\ c(q) &= s(q) + (-1, d(q)), \text{ rank}(q) \equiv 7, 8 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

The principal merit of  $c(q)$  over  $s(q)$  is that  $c(q)$  is a similarity invariant, while  $s(q)$  is not. In any case, the reader can check that  $c(q_B) = B$ .

As a consequence of our identification of genus zero curves with quaternion algebras, we conclude that over any field  $k$ , ternary quadratic forms up to similarity are classified by their Witt invariant, and ternary forms up to isomorphism are classified by their Witt invariant and their discriminant (cf. [Scharlau, Theorem 13.5]).

**Pfister forms:** For  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we define the  $n$ -**fold Pfister form**

$$\langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \langle 1, a_i \rangle = \perp \langle a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \rangle,$$

where the orthogonal sum extends over all  $2^n$  subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Notice that the full norm form on  $B$  is  $\langle 1, -a \rangle \otimes \langle 1, -b \rangle$ , a 2-fold Pfister form. This is good news, since the properties of Pfister forms are far better understood than those of arbitrary quadratic forms. As an important instance of this, a Pfister form is isotropic if and only if it is hyperbolic [Scharlau, Lemma 10.4]. As  $n$  increases, Pfister forms become increasingly sparse among all rank  $2^n$  quadratic forms (and, obviously, among all quadratic forms), but observe that a quaternary quadratic form is similar to a Pfister form if and only if it has discriminant 1.

**Quadric hypersurfaces:** Finally, we need to link up the algebraic theory of quadratic forms with the geometric theory of quadric hypersurfaces, our second higher-dimensional analogue of the genus zero curves.

Let  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_0x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2$  be a nondegenerate quadratic form of rank  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $V_q$  be the corresponding hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  given by  $q = 0$ .  $V_q$  is geometrically irreducible and geometrically *rational*. More precisely,  $k(V_q)$  is a  $k$ -rational function field if and only if  $q$  is isotropic: the “only if” is obvious, and the converse goes as above: if we have a single point  $p \in V_q(k)$ , then we can consider the family of lines in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  passing through  $p$ ; the generic line meets  $V_q$  transversely

<sup>3</sup>Or more canonically by the theory of Clifford algebras; see [Lam, Ch. 5].

in two points, giving a birational map from  $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$  to  $V$ . However, if  $n \geq 4$  then this need not be true for every line, i.e.,  $V_q$  need not be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ .

Every isotropic quaternary quadratic form  $q$  can be written as  $H \perp g$ , where  $H = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$  is the hyperbolic plane and  $g$  is an arbitrary binary quadratic form; by Witt cancellation, the equivalence classes of  $g$  parameterize the isotropic quaternary quadratic forms up to equivalence. Since for all  $c \in k^\times$ ,  $cH \cong H$ , every isotropic quaternary form  $q$  is similar to  $H \perp \langle 1, -d(q) \rangle$ , and we conclude that isotropic quadric surfaces are classified up to isomorphism by their discriminant. The unique hyperbolic representative (with discriminant 1) is given by the equation  $x_0^2 - x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = 0$ , and on this quadric we find the lines  $L_1 : [a : -a : b : -b]$  and  $L_2 : [a : -b : -a : b]$  with intersection the single point  $[a : -a : a : -a]$ : we've shown that a hyperbolic quadric surface is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $q, q'$  be two quadratic forms over  $k$ . Then  $q$  is similar to  $q'$  if and only if  $V_q \cong V_{q'}$ .*

Proof: As above, it is clear that similar forms give rise to isomorphic quadrics. In rank 3 we saw that the Witt invariant, which gives the isomorphism class of the conic, classifies the quadratic form up to similarity. Since a quadric surface  $V$  is a twisted form of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the class of the canonical bundle in  $\text{Pic}(V)$  is represented by  $K_V = -2(e_1 + e_2)$ , whereas the hyperplane class of  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is represented by  $e_1 + e_2$ . If  $\varphi : V_1 \cong V_2$  is an isomorphism of quadric surfaces, it must pull  $K_{V_2}$  back to  $K_{V_1}$ , which, since the Picard groups are torsionfree, implies that  $e_1 + e_2$  on  $V_2$  pulls back to  $e_1 + e_2$  on  $V_1$ . That is, any isomorphism of quadrics extends to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3) = \text{PGL}_4$ , this gives a similitude on the corresponding spaces. In rank at least 5, the Picard group of  $V_q$  is infinite cyclic, generated by the canonical class  $K_V$ . Moreover  $-K_V$  is very ample and embeds  $V$  into  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  as a quadric hypersurface, so again any isomorphism of quadrics extends to an automorphism of the ambient projective space.

If  $q$  is a rank  $n$  quadratic form, we denote by  $k(q)$  the function field  $k(V_q)$  of the associated quadric hypersurface.

If  $q/k$  is a quadratic form, we say a field extension  $l/k$  is a **field of isotropy** for  $q$  if  $q/l$  is isotropic, or equivalently if  $l(q)$  is a rational function field.

On the other hand, we say  $l/k$  is a **splitting field** for  $q$  if  $q/l$  is hyperbolic, i.e., if  $q$  lies in the ideal  $W(l/k)$  of  $W(k)$  which is the kernel of the natural restriction map  $W(k) \rightarrow W(l)$ .

The analogy with Severi-Brauer varieties and the Brauer group is irresistible, but things are more subtle here. Of course the function field  $k(q)$  is a field of isotropy for  $q$ : every variety has (generic) rational points over its function field. On the other hand it is not guaranteed that  $q$  becomes hyperbolic over  $k(q)$ . Indeed, this is obviously impossible unless  $q$  has even rank  $n = 2m$ , and then unless  $d(q) = d(\mathbb{H}^m) = (-1)^m$  – since  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(q)$ ,  $d(q)/(-1)^m$  does not become a square in  $k(q)$  unless it is already a square in  $k$ . On the other hand,

if  $q$  is (similar to) a Pfister form, then isotropy implies hyperbolicity. So for quaternary quadratic forms, we've shown part a) of the following result, the analogue of Amitsur's theorem in the Witt ring.

**Theorem 14.** (*Cassels-Pfister*)[Scharlau, Theorem 4.5.4]

- a) An anisotropic form  $q$  is similar to a Pfister form if and only if  $q \in W(k(q)/k)$ .
- b) If  $q$  is similar to a Pfister form and  $q'$  is an anisotropic form, then  $q' \in W(k(q)/k)$  if and only if  $q' \cong g \otimes q$  for some quadratic form  $g$ . In particular,  $W(k(q)/k)$  is the principal ideal of  $W(k)$  generated by  $q$ .
- c) Let  $q'$  be any quadratic form and  $q$  an anisotropic quadratic form. If  $q \in W(k(q')/k)$ , then  $q$  is similar to a subform of  $q'$ .

(We say that  $f$  is a subform of  $g$  if there exists  $h$  such that  $g = f \perp h$ .)

An immediate consequence is that if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are two anisotropic Pfister forms of equal rank such that  $k(q_1)$  is a field of isotropy for  $q_2$ , then  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are similar. Applying this to the normalized norm form of a genus zero curve, we get our second proof of Proposition 14.

We end this section by collecting a few more results that will be useful for the proof of Theorem 7b).

**Theorem 15.** Let  $q, q'$  be quaternary quadratic forms over  $k$  with common discriminant  $d$ , and put  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ .

- a) [Scharlau, 2.14.2]  $q$  is anisotropic if and only if  $q_l$  is anisotropic.
- b) [Wadsworth] If  $q'/l$  is similar to  $q/l$ , then  $q$  is similar to  $q'$ .
- c) [Wadsworth] If  $q$  is anisotropic and  $k(q) \cong k(q')$ , then  $q$  is similar to  $q'$ .

**4.2. An algebraic proof of Ohm's theorem.** We begin the proof of Theorem 7b) by explaining how the results we have recalled on quadratic forms can be used to deduce the theorem of [Ohm] on the isogeny classification of quadric surfaces. Indeed, thanks to the remarkable Theorem 15c), the classification result is more precise than we have let on.

**Theorem 16.** (*Ohm*) Let  $q, q'$  be two nondegenerate quaternary quadratic forms over  $k$  with isogenous function fields. Then either:

- a)  $q$  and  $q'$  are both isotropic, so  $k(q) \cong k(q') \cong k(t_1, t_2)$ , or
- b)  $q$  and  $q'$  are both anisotropic in which case  $V_q \cong V_{q'}$ , i.e.,  $q$  and  $q'$  are similar.

That is, except in the case when both function fields are rational, quadric surfaces with isogenous function fields are not only birational but *isomorphic*.

Proof: Since isotropic quadric function fields are rational and the condition of being isotropic (i.e., of having a  $k$ -rational point) is an isogeny invariant, we need only consider the case when both  $q$  and  $q'$  are anisotropic quaternary quadratic forms. The proof divides into further cases according to the values of the discriminants  $d = d(q)$ ,  $d' = d(q')$ .

The first case is  $d = d' = 1$  (as elements of  $k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ ). In this case  $q$  and  $q'$  are both similar to Pfister forms. If they are isogenous over  $k$ , *a fortiori* they are isogenous over  $k(q')$ , and since  $q'$  becomes isotropic over  $k(q')$ , so does  $q$ . Since  $q$  is a Pfister form, this implies  $q \in W(k(q')/k)$ , and by Theorem 14c) we conclude that

$q$  and  $q'$  are similar.

Suppose  $d = d' \neq 1$ . Let  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ . By Theorem 15a),  $q/l$  and  $q'/l$  remain anisotropic. Moreover they are now Pfister forms, so the previous case applies to show that  $q/l$  and  $q'/l$  are similar. But now Theorem 15b) tells us that  $q$  and  $q'$  are already similar over  $k$ !

The last case is  $d \neq d'$ . Since the discriminant is a similarity invariant among quaternary quadratic forms, we must show that this case cannot occur, i.e., that two anisotropic quadratic forms with distinct discriminants cannot be isogenous. Let  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ ; it suffices to show that  $q/l$  and  $q'/l$  are nonisogenous. Again, Theorem 15a) implies that  $q/l$  remains anisotropic, whereas we may assume that  $q'/l$  is anisotropic, for otherwise they could not be isogenous. We finish as in the first case: by construction  $q/l$  is (similar to) an anisotropic Pfister form, so  $q/l \in W(l(q')/l)$  and the Cassels-Pfister theorem implies that  $q/l$  and  $q'/l$  are similar, but their discriminants are different, a contradiction.

## 5. GEOMETRY AND GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OF QUADRIC SURFACES

Our strategy for proving Theorem 8b) in full is in fact to make the proof of the previous subsection geometric: that is, we will use Brauer kernels to give proofs of Theorems 14 and 15 in the case of quaternary quadratic forms. The fact that two-dimensional quadric function fields are classified by their Brauer kernels over  $k$  and over all quadratic extensions of  $k$  will come as a byproduct of these proofs.

**5.1. Preliminaries on twisted forms.** The first step is to consider not just the quadric surfaces over  $k$ , but the larger set of all twisted forms of the hyperbolic surface  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

So let  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  be the set of all Galois twisted forms of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , i.e., the set of all varieties  $X/k$  such that  $X/\bar{k} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We saw in the previous section that every quadric surface  $V_q$  is an element of  $\mathcal{T}$ . (More precisely, every quadric surface becomes isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  after an extension with Galois group  $1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and no anisotropic quadric surface with nontrivial discriminant splits over a quadratic extension.)

By Galois descent,  $\mathcal{T} = H^1(k, G)$ , where  $G$  is the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .  $G$  is a semidirect product:

$$1 \rightarrow PGL_2^2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the  $PGL_2^2$  gives automorphisms of each factor separately, and a splitting of the sequence is given by the involution of the two  $\mathbb{P}^1$  factors. Thus we have a split exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \rightarrow QA(k)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{d} k^\times/k^{\times 2},$$

where  $QA(k)$  stands for the set of all quaternion algebras over  $k$ , and the map  $d$  can be viewed as a discriminant map. The splitting just means that we have an injection  $k^\times/k^{\times 2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ : we choose the embedding corresponding to the subset of all isotropic quadric surfaces (we have seen that these are parameterized by their discriminant).

The part of  $\mathcal{T}$  in the kernel of  $d$  is easy to understand: we just take two different twisted forms  $C_1, C_2$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  – i.e., two genus zero curves over  $k$  – and put  $X = C_1 \times C_2$ . Using Witt’s theorem, we can identify the Brauer kernel of such a surface:  $\kappa(k(C_1 \times C_2)) = \langle B_{C_1}, B_{C_2} \rangle$ .

For any twisted form  $X$ , let  $N = \mathbf{Pic}(X)(\bar{k})$  be the Picard group of  $X/\bar{k}$  viewed as a  $G_k$ -module. As abelian group,  $N$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = e_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus e_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  represent the two rulings. Write  $N(k) := N^{G_k}$  for the  $G_k$ -equivariant line bundles on  $X/\bar{k}$ , so  $N(k)$  is a free abelian group of rank at most 2. The rank is at least one, since  $e_1 + e_2 \in N(k)$ : the only two elements of the Néron-Severi lattice with self-intersection 2 are  $\pm(e_1 + e_2)$ , and  $e_1 + e_2$  is distinguished from  $-(e_1 + e_2)$  by being ample; both of these properties are preserved by the  $G_k$ -action. Moreover, since  $N$  is torsion free, for any  $L \in N(\bar{k})$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $L \in N(k) \iff nL \in N(k)$ . In particular, the rank of  $N(k)$  is 2 if and only if  $N(\bar{k})$  is a trivial  $G_k$ -module.

Claim:  $N(k)$  has rank 2 if and only if  $d(X) = 1$ .

Proof: If  $d(X) = 1$ ,  $X = C_1 \times C_2$ , and choosing any point  $p_2 \in C_2(\bar{k})$ , for any  $\sigma \in G_k$ ,  $\sigma(C_1 \times p_2) = C_1 \times \sigma(p_2)$ , so that the Galois action preserves the horizontal ruling; the same goes for the vertical ruling. The converse is similar: to say that  $\sigma \in G_k$  acts trivially on the class of  $[e_1]$  and  $[e_2]$  is to say that it does not interchange the rulings, hence lies in the subgroup  $PGL_2^2$  of  $G$ .

Look now at the rank one case, where  $N(k) = (e_1 + e_2)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . From the basic exact sequence (1), it follows that the Brauer kernel of  $X$  is precisely the obstruction to  $e_1 + e_2$  coming from a line bundle. Since  $-2(e_1 + e_2)$  is represented by the canonical bundle, we get that for all  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\kappa(X) \subset Br(k)[2]$ .

Claim:  $\alpha(e_1 + e_2) = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is a quadric surface.

Proof: On  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $H = e_1 + e_2$  is very ample and gives the embedding into  $\mathbb{P}^3$  as a degree 2 hypersurface. It follows that as soon as the class of  $[e_1 + e_2]$  is represented by a  $k$ -rational divisor, the same holds  $k$ -rationally, i.e.,  $X$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  as a degree 2 hypersurface. For the converse, just cut the quadric by a hyperplane to get a rational divisor in the class of  $e_1 + e_2$ .

Let us sum up our work on Brauer kernels of quadric surfaces.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $X/k$  be a quadric surface. If  $d(X) \neq 1$ , then the Brauer kernel is trivial. If  $d(X) = 1$ , then  $X \cong C \times C$  and is classified up to isomorphism by its Brauer kernel  $\kappa(X) = \{1, B_C\}$ .*

Proof: We just need to remark that when  $d(X) = 1$ ,  $X = C_1 \times C_2$ , and since  $\alpha(e_1) = B_{C_1}$ ,  $\alpha(e_2) = B_{C_2}$  are 2-torsion elements of  $Br(k)$ , the fact that  $\alpha(e_1 + e_2) = 0$  implies  $\alpha(e_1) = \alpha(e_2)$ , so that  $C_1 \cong C_2$ .

**5.2. The proof of Theorem 8b.** First we give a geometric proof of Theorem 14 for quaternary quadratic forms: Since “Pfister quadrics” are just those isomorphic

to  $C \times C$ , where  $C$  is a genus zero curve, we can turn our previous argument on its head and deduce part b) of the Cassels-Pfister theorem in rank 4 from Witt's theorem. (We saw earlier that part a) is easy in rank 4.) Now let  $q'$  be a rank 4 quadratic form and  $q$  an anisotropic quadratic form such that  $q \in W(k(q')/q')$ . But since  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $k(q')$ , this implies that  $d(q) = 1$ , so that  $q$  is (similar to) a Pfister form, with corresponding quadric  $C \times C$ . If  $d(q') = 1$  also, this reduces again to Proposition 14, so assume that  $d(q') = d \neq 1$  and let  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ . Consider the basic exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(V_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}(V_2)(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Br(k) \xrightarrow{\beta} Br(k(V_2)).$$

The hypothesis that  $q$  splits in  $k(V_2)$  means that  $B_C$  is an element of the Brauer kernel of  $k(V_2)$ . But being a quadric surface with nontrivial discriminant,  $\kappa(k(V_2)) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 15a) for quaternary forms: let  $q_1, q_2$  be quaternary forms with common discriminant  $d$  and corresponding quadrics  $V_1, V_2$ ; put  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ .

First we must show that if  $V_1/l$  is isotropic, then  $V_1/k$  was isotropic. But if  $X(l)$  is nonempty, then since the discriminant is 1 over  $l$ , then  $X$  splits over  $l$ . So we can choose rational curves  $C_1, C_2$  over  $l$  such that  $\sigma(C_1) = C_2$ . But then  $\sigma(C_1 \cap C_2) = \sigma(C_1) \cap \sigma(C_2) = C_2 \cap C_1 = C_1 \cap C_2$  gives a  $k$ -rational point.

We now give geometric proofs of Wadsworth's results, Theorem 15 parts b) and c). The isotropic case of Theorem 15b) is easy, since isotropic quadric surfaces are classified by their discriminant. Since we know that two anisotropic Pfister quadrics are birational if and only if they are isomorphic, Theorem 15c) follows from Theorem 15b), and we are reduced to showing the following.

**Proposition 18.** *Let  $V/k, W/k$  be two anisotropic quadric surfaces with common discriminant  $d$ ; put  $l = k(\sqrt{d})$ . If  $V_1/l \cong V_2/l$ , then  $V_1 \cong V_2$ .*

Proof: We write  $\sigma$  for the nontrivial element of  $G_{l/k}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all  $l/k$  twisted forms of  $V$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}_d \subset \mathcal{S}$  be the subset of twisted forms  $W$  with  $d(W) = d(V)$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{S}_d = \mathcal{S}$ , which gives the result we want. (In fact it is a stronger result, since we are *a priori* allowing twisted forms which are not quadric surfaces.)

To prove the claim we clearly may “replace”  $V$  by any element of  $\mathcal{S}_d$ . A convenient choice is the variety  $V_1/k$  constructed as follows: let  $B = c(V)$  be the Witt invariant of the quadric  $V$ , and let  $C/k$  denote the corresponding genus zero curve. Let  $V_1 := \text{Res}_{l/k}(C/l)$  be the  $k$ -variety obtained by viewing  $C$  as a curve over  $l$  and then taking the Weil restriction from  $l$  down to  $k$ .<sup>4</sup>

We have that  $V_1/l \cong C \times C$ . Let  $G = \text{Aut}(V_1)$ . It is convenient (and correct!) to view  $G$  as an algebraic  $k$ -group scheme. In particular this gives the  $l$ -valued

---

<sup>4</sup>It is a byproduct of the proof that  $V$  is a quadric surface. On the other hand, if we started with a genus zero curve  $C$  whose corresponding quaternion algebra was in  $Br(l) \setminus Br(l)^{G_{l/k}}$ , then the restriction of scalars construction would yield a twisted form  $V_1/k$  such that  $V_1/l \cong C \times C^\sigma$  is not a quadric surface (even) over  $l$ .



points  $G(l)$  the structure of a  $G_{l/k}$ -module, and this Galois module structure is highly relevant, since  $\mathcal{S} = H^1(l/k, G(l))$ . Indeed we have a short exact sequence of  $k$ -group schemes

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \text{Sym}\{e_1, e_2\} \rightarrow 1$$

obtained by letting automorphisms of  $V_1$  act on the  $G_{l/k}$ -set of rulings  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ ; this exact sequence is of course a twisted analogue of the exact sequence considered in 5.1. In particular, we still have that  $K$  is the connected component of  $G$ , a linear algebraic group scheme;  $K(l)$  is, as a group, isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(C)(l)^2 = \text{PGL}(B)(l)^2$ , where the group  $\text{PGL}(B)$  is the twisted analogue of  $\text{PGL}_2$  defined by the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow B^\times \rightarrow \text{PGL}(B) \rightarrow 1.$$

However, the  $G_{l/k}$ -module structure on  $K(l)$  is twisted: since  $\sigma$  interchanges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , it also interchanges the two factors of  $\text{PGL}(B)$ . That is,  $\sigma(x, y) = (\sigma(y), \sigma(x))$ , so

$$K(k) = \{(x, \sigma(x)) \mid x \in \text{PGL}(B)(l)\} \cong \text{PGL}(B)(l),$$

and one finds that  $K/k = \text{Res}_{l/k} \text{Aut}(C/l)$ . But then Shapiro's Lemma implies

$$\#H^1(l/k, K(l)) = \#H^1(l/l, \text{Aut}(C/l)) = 1.$$

Taking  $l$ -valued points and then  $G_{l/k}$ -invariants in (2), one gets an exact cohomology sequence, of which a piece is

$$H^1(l/k, K(l)) \rightarrow G \rightarrow H^1(l/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \pm 1$$

where the latter map is the twisted analogue of the discriminant map. The exactness means that  $H^1(l/k, K(l)) = S_d$ , so we are done.

End of the proof of Theorem 8b): At this point, we have given a second proof of Ohm's Theorem 16. It remains to see that function fields of quadric surfaces  $k(X)$  are classified by their Brauer kernels over  $k$  and over all quadratic extensions of  $k$ . Suppose  $k(q)$  and  $k(q')$  are *non*-isomorphic function fields of quadric surfaces. If one is isotropic and the other is anisotropic, then the isotropic one has trivial Brauer kernels over all extension fields of  $k$ , whereas the anisotropic one has a Brauer kernel of order two over  $k(\sqrt{d})$ . So suppose that both are anisotropic. If  $d(q) \neq d(q')$ , then over  $k(\sqrt{d})$ ,  $q$  has nontrivial Brauer kernel and  $q'$  has trivial Brauer kernel. If their discriminants are the same, then by Proposition 18,  $l(q)$  and  $l(q')$  remain nonisomorphic, so have distinct nontrivial Brauer kernels. This shows the equivalence of the first three conditions in part b) of Theorem 8.

Remark: With a bit more care, one should be able to show "isogeny implies birationality" for all  $\bar{k}/k$  twisted forms of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . One uses similar methods to the above, the only new wrinkle being that there are some pairs  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  with the same isogeny invariants (i.e., equal Brauer kernels over all extensions of  $k$ ) and which are non-isomorphic but still birational. For instance, in the case  $d(V_1) = d(V_2) = 1$ , we have  $V_1 = C_1 \times C_2$ ,  $V_2 = C_3 \times C_4$  (all genus zero curves), and setting the Brauer kernels means precisely  $\{C_1, C_2\} = \{C_3, C_4\}$ . The only problematic case is  $C \times C$  versus  $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which are evidently non-isomorphic. However, they are birational: let  $\pi_1 : C \times C \rightarrow C$  be projection onto the first factor; the generic fibre of  $\pi_1$  is a genus zero curve over  $k(C)$ . Since there is an

obvious section – namely  $c \mapsto (c, c)$ , this curve is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $k(C)$ , i.e.,  $k(C \times C) = k(C)(t) = k(C \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ . (This elegant argument is due to Colliot-Thélène.)

## 6. COMPARING QUADRICS AND SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

**6.1. The proof of Theorem 8c).** For the proof of Theorem 8c), it suffices to show that for any  $n > 1$ , if  $K_1 = k(V_1)$  is the function field of a nontrivial Severi-Brauer variety and  $K_2 = k(V_2)$  is the function field of an anisotropic quadric hypersurface, then  $\kappa(k(V_1)) \neq \kappa(k(V_2))$ .

But recall that the Picard group of a quadric hypersurface  $V_2/k$  in dimension at least 3 is *generated* by the canonical bundle, so the natural map  $\text{Pic}(V_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}(V_2)(k)$  is an isomorphism and  $\kappa(k(V_2)) = 0$ . On the other hand, a nontrivial Severi-Brauer variety has a nontrivial Brauer kernel, the cyclic subgroup generated by the corresponding Brauer group element.

When  $n = 2$ , the Brauer kernel of a nontrivial Severi-Brauer surface is cyclic of order 3, whereas the Brauer kernel of any quadric is 2-torsion.

**6.2. Brauer kernels and the index.** Earlier we mentioned the fact that if  $V$  has a  $k$ -rational point,  $\kappa(k(V)) = 0$ . This statement can be refined in terms of the **index** of a variety  $V/k$ , which is the least positive degree of a  $\mathbf{g}_k$ -invariant zero-cycle on  $V$ ; equivalently, it is the greatest common divisor over all degrees of finite field extensions  $l/k$  for which  $V(l) \neq \emptyset$ . Note then that the index is a (field-)isogeny invariant. Suppose  $l/k$  is a finite field extension of degree  $n$  such that  $V(l) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\kappa(k(V)) = \text{Br}(k(V)/k) \subset \text{Br}(l/k)$ . It follows that the index of  $V/k$  is an upper bound for the index of any element of the Brauer kernel of  $k(V)$  (recall that the index of a Brauer group element is the square root of the  $k$ -vector space dimension of the corresponding division algebra  $D/k$ ). In particular varieties with a  $k$ -rational zero-cycle of degree one have trivial Brauer kernel.

Notice that quadrics and Severi-Brauer varieties have a very special property among all varieties: namely the existence of a rational zero-cycle of degree one implies the existence of a rational point. For Severi-Brauer varieties, it is part of the basic theory of division algebras that the index of a division algebra is equal to the greatest common divisor over all degrees of splitting fields (and moreover the gcd is *attained*, by any maximal subfield of  $D/k$ ). For quadrics – whose index is clearly at most 2 – this follows from Springer’s theorem, that an anisotropic quadratic form remains anisotropic over any finite field extension of odd degree.

To see how “special” this property is, observe that every variety over a finite field has index one, since the Weil bounds (it is enough to consider curves) imply that if  $V/\mathbb{F}_q$  is a smooth projective variety,  $V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \gg 0$ , and in particular there exists  $n$  such that  $V/\mathbb{F}_q$  has rational zero cycles of coprime degrees  $n$  and  $n+1$ . This gives amusingly convoluted proofs of the familiar facts that the Brauer group of a finite field is trivial and that every quadratic form in at least three variables over a finite field is isotropic.

## 7. CURVES OF GENUS ONE

In this section we suppose that all fields have characteristic zero.

**7.1. Preliminaries on genus one curves.** Let  $K = k(C)$  be the function field of a genus one curve. Recall that  $C$  can be given the structure of an elliptic curve if and only if  $C(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $C$  is an arbitrary genus one curve, we can associate to it an elliptic curve, its **Jacobian**  $J(C) = \mathbf{Pic}^0(C)$ , the group scheme representing the subfunctor of  $\mathbf{Pic}(C)$  consisting of divisor classes of degree zero. The Riemann-Roch theorem gives a canonical identification  $C = \mathbf{Pic}^1(C)$ ; with this identification,  $C$  becomes a principal homogeneous space (or torsor) over  $J(C)$ . By Galois descent, the genus one curves  $C/k$  with Jacobian a given elliptic curve  $E$  are parameterized by the Galois cohomology group  $H^1(k, E)$ . There is a subtlety here:  $H^1(k, E)$  parameterizes isomorphism classes of genus one curves endowed with the structure of a principal homogeneous space for  $E$ , so a genus one curve up to isomorphism corresponds to an orbit of  $\mathrm{Aut}(E/k)$  on  $H^1(k, E)$ . We will assume that  $\mathrm{Aut}(E/k) = \pm 1$  (this excludes only the notorious  $j$ -invariants 0 and 1728) – later we will exclude all elliptic curves with complex multiplication over the algebraic closure of  $k$ . So  $[C]$  and  $-[C]$  are in general distinct classes in  $H^1(K, E)$  but represent isomorphic genus one curves.

If a genus one curve has a  $k$ -rational zero-cycle of degree one, then by Riemann-Roch it is an elliptic curve, i.e., index one implies the existence of rational points for genus one curves. Another important numerical invariant of a genus one curve  $C/k$  is its **period**, which is simply the order of  $[C]$  in the torsion group  $H^1(k, J(C))$ . It is not hard to see that, like the index, the period is an isogeny invariant.

Recall that an isogeny of elliptic curves (in the usual sense) is just a finite morphism of varieties  $\varphi : (E_1, O_1) \rightarrow (E_2, O_2)$  preserving the distinguished points. But notice that if  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is any finite morphism of genus one curves with rational points, it can be viewed as an isogeny by taking  $O_2 = f(O_1)$ . Moreover, if  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a finite morphism of elliptic curves, then there is an induced map  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(f) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(E_1)$ . Since any elliptic curve is isomorphic to its Picard variety, this explains why our notion of an isogenous pair of elliptic function fields is consistent with the usual notion of isogenous elliptic curves: the morphism in the other direction is guaranteed.

But if  $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is a morphism of genus one curves without rational points, then since  $C_2$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C_2)$ , the existence of a finite map  $\varphi' : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$  is not guaranteed. Indeed, it need not exist: let  $C$  be a genus one curve of period  $n > 1$ . Then the natural map  $[n] : C = \mathbf{Pic}^1(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^n(C) \cong J(C)$  gives a morphism of degree  $n^2$  from  $C$  to its Jacobian. Since  $J(C)(k) \neq \emptyset$ , there is no map in the other direction. So the classification of genus one curves up to isogeny is more delicate than the analogous classification of elliptic curves. We content ourselves here with the following result.

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $C, C'/k$  be two genus one curves with common Jacobian  $E$ , and assume that  $E$  does not have complex multiplication over  $\bar{k}$ . Then there exists a degree  $n^2$  étale cover  $C \rightarrow C'$  if and only if  $[C'] = \pm n[C]$  as elements of the Weil-Chatelet group  $H^1(k, E)$ .*

Proof: As we saw above, there is a natural map  $\psi_n : C = \text{Pic}^1(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^n(C)$  induced by the map  $D \mapsto nD$  on divisors. Upon basechange to the algebraic closure and up to an isomorphism, this map can be identified with  $[n]$  on  $J(C)$ , so it is an étale cover of degree  $n^2$ . Keeping in mind that  $n$  could be negative, corresponding to a twist of principal homogeneous structure by  $[-1]$ , we get the first half of the result.

For the converse, let  $\pi : C \rightarrow C'$  be any finite étale cover. Choosing  $P \in C(\bar{k})$  and its image  $P' = \pi(P) \in C'(\bar{k})$ ,  $\pi/\bar{k} : C/\bar{k} \rightarrow C'/\bar{k}$  is an elliptic curve endomorphism. By assumption on  $E$ ,  $\pi/\bar{k} = [n]$  for some integer  $n$ , and its kernel  $E[n]$  is the unique subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that the map  $\pi : C \rightarrow C'$  factors as  $C \rightarrow C/E[n] \rightarrow C'$ , hence  $C/E[n] \rightarrow C'$  is an isomorphism of varieties. It is not necessarily a morphism of principal homogeneous spaces: it will be precisely when  $n > 0$  in  $\pi/\bar{k}$  above. Taking into account again the possibility of  $n < 0$  gives the stated result.

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $C/k$  be a genus one curve with non-CM Jacobian  $E/k$ . The number of genus one curves  $C'/k$  with  $J(C) \cong J(C')$  which are  $k$ -isogenous to  $C$  is  $N_C := \#(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times / (\pm 1)$ , where  $C \in H^1(K, E)$  has exact order  $n$ . In particular  $N_C = 1$  if and only if  $n$  is one of: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6; and  $N_C \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n$ .*

The proof is immediate from the previous proposition. This result should be compared with Amitsur's theorem: it is not true that two genus one curves, even with common Jacobian, which have the same splitting fields must be birational.

**Proposition 21.** *The field-isogeny class of a one-dimensional function field with respect to a number field is finite.*

Proof: When the genus is different from one, we have seen that field-isogeny implies isomorphism, so it remains to look at the case of  $K$  a genus one function field with respect to a number field  $k$ . Fix some  $k$ -structure on  $K$  (there are, of course, only finitely ways to do this). For the sake of clarity, let us first show that there are only finitely many function fields  $K'/k$  which are isogenous to  $K$  as  $k$ -algebras. It will then be easy to see that the proof actually gives finiteness of the field-isogeny class.

Let  $C/k$  be the genus one curve such that  $K = k(C)$ ; let  $K'/k$  be a function field such that there exists a homomorphism  $\iota : K' \rightarrow K$ , which on the geometric side corresponds to a finite morphism  $\varphi : C \rightarrow C'$ , where  $C'/k$  is another genus one curve with  $K' = k(C')$ .

By passing to the Jacobian<sup>5</sup> of  $\varphi/k$  we get an isogeny of elliptic curves  $J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$ . By Shafarevich's theorem, the isogeny class of an elliptic curve over a number field is finite, so it is enough to bound the number of function fields  $k(C')$  with a given Jacobian, say  $E'$ . Let  $n$  be the common period of  $C$  and  $C'$ , so that  $C' \in H^1(k, E')[n]$ . The set  $S$  of places of  $k$  containing the infinite places and all finite places  $v$  such that  $C(k_v) = \emptyset$ ; this is a finite set. But the existence of  $\varphi$  means that  $C'(k_v) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v$  outside of  $S$ , so that

$$C' \in \ker(H^1(k, E)[n] \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(k_v, E)[n]).$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Motivated by a desire to reverse as few arrows as possible, we choose to take the covariant Jacobian functor, i.e., the Albanese rather than the Picard.

But the finiteness of this kernel is extremely well-known, using e.g. Hermite's discriminant bounds. (Indeed, this is the key step in the proof of the weak Mordell-Weil theorem; see e.g. [Silverman].)

Notice that we actually showed the following: a given genus one function field  $K/k$  dominates only finitely many other genus one function fields. (In fact  $K$  dominates only finitely many function fields in all, the genus zero case being taken care of by the finiteness of the Brauer kernel  $\kappa(C)$ .) It follows that there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of fields  $L$  which admit a field isomorphic to  $K$  as a finite extension; this completes the proof.

**7.2. The proof of Theorem 10.** Let  $k$  be a number field and  $C_1/k$  a genus one curve of period 1, 2, 3, 4, or 6 whose Jacobian  $J(C_1)$  has no complex multiplication over  $\bar{k}$  and is isolated in its isogeny class. Let  $K_1 = k(C_1)$  and  $K_2$  be any finitely generated field such that  $K_1 \equiv K_2$ . By Pop's Theorem 3,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isogenous as fields, so  $K_2$  is isomorphic as a field to  $k(C_2)$  where  $C_2/k$  is another genus one curve. By modifying if necessary the  $k$ -structure on  $C_2$ , we get a finite morphism  $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  of  $k$ -schemes; passing to  $J(\varphi)$  we deduce that  $J(C_1) \sim J(C_2)$  and hence by hypothesis that  $E = J(C_1) \cong J(C_2)$ . By Proposition 19,  $[C_2] = a[C_1]$  for some integer  $a$ . Applying the same argument with the roles of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  interchanged, we get that  $[C_1]$  and  $[C_2]$  generate the same cyclic subgroup of  $H^1(k, E)$ , and by the hypothesis on the period of  $C_1$  we conclude  $C_1 \cong C_2$ .

We remark that with hypotheses as above but  $C$  of arbitrary period  $n$ , we find that  $k(C)$  could be elementarily equivalent only to one of  $\#(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times/(\pm 1)$  non-isomorphic function fields, but distinguishing between these isogenous genus one curves with common Jacobian seems quite difficult.

Finally, we must show that the assumption that  $J(C)$  is isolated can be removed at the cost of assuming the finiteness of the Mordell-Weil group  $J(C)(k)$ . This is handled by the following result, which is a modification of the (clever, and somewhat tricky) argument of [Pierce] to our arithmetic situation.

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $K_1 = k(C_1)$  be the function field of a genus one curve over a number field. Assume that  $J(C_1)$  does not have complex multiplication (even) over the algebraic closure of  $k$ , and that  $J(C_1)(k)$  is finite. Let  $K_2 \equiv K_1$  be any elementarily equivalent function field. Then  $K_2 = k(C_2)$  is the function field of a genus one curve  $C_2$  such that  $J(C_1) \cong J(C_2)$ .*

Proof: Let  $K_2$  be a finitely generated function field such that  $K_1 \equiv K_2$ . By Pop's Theorem A, we know that  $K_2$  is field-isogenous to  $K_1$ . As above, this implies the existence of  $k$ -structures on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $K_1 = k(C_1)$ ,  $K_2 = k(C_2)$  and  $\iota/k : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is a finite morphism. (Again we get by without using the full strength of the notion of field-isogeny.)

Step 1: In search of a contradiction, we assume that the greatest common divisor of the degrees of all finite maps  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is divisible by some prime number  $p$ .

Step 2: Because of Step 1 and the finiteness of  $J(C_2)(k)$ , there is a finite list of étale maps  $\lambda_i : C_1 \rightarrow C_i$  such that every map  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  factors through some  $\lambda_i$ :

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} C_i \xrightarrow{\Psi_i} C_2.$$

Step 3: We choose a smooth affine model for  $C_2/k$  and let  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denote coordinates. The statement “ $\bar{x} \in C_2$ ” can be viewed as first-order: let  $(P_j)$  be a finite set of generators for the ideal of  $C_2$  in  $k[\bar{x}]$ ; then  $\bar{x} \in C_2$  is an abbreviation for “ $\forall j P_j(\bar{x}) = 0$ ”. For each  $i$ , choose  $b_i \in k(C_i)$  such that  $k(C_i) = \Psi_i^*(k(C_2))(b_i)$ , and let  $g_i(X, Y) \in k[\bar{X}, Y]$  be the minimal polynomial for  $b_i$  over  $\Psi_i^*(k(C_2))$ . Finally, we define a predicate  $\bar{x} \in C_2 \wedge \neg \text{Con}(\bar{x})$  with the meaning that  $\bar{x}$  lies on  $C_2$  and each coordinate is not in  $k$ . We must stress that this is to be regarded as a single symbol – we do not know how to define the constants in a function field over a number field, but since  $C_2$  by assumption has only finitely many  $k$ -rational points, we can name them explicitly. Consider the sentence:

$$\forall \bar{x} \exists y \left( \bar{x} \in C_2 \wedge \neg \text{Con}(\bar{x}) \implies \bigvee_i g_i(\bar{x}, y) = 0 \right)$$

Note well that  $k(C_2)$  does not satisfy this sentence: take  $\bar{x}$  to be any generic point of  $C_2$ . But  $k(C_1)$  does: giving such an element  $\bar{x} \in k(C_1)$  is equivalent to giving a field embedding  $\iota : k(C_2) \rightarrow k(C_1)$ , i.e. to a finite map  $\iota : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ . So  $\iota = \Psi_i \circ \lambda_i$  for some  $i$ , and we can take  $y = \lambda_i^* b_i$ :

$$g(\bar{x}, y) = g(\iota^* \bar{a}, \lambda^* b) = \lambda_i^* g(\Psi_i^* \bar{a}, b) = 0,$$

with  $\bar{x} = \iota^*(\bar{a})$ ,  $\bar{a}$  a generic point of  $C_2$ . So our sentence exhibits the elementary inequivalence of  $k(C_1)$  and  $k(C_2)$ , a contradiction.

Step 4: Therefore the assumption of Step 1 is false, and it follows that there exist two isogenies between the non-CM elliptic curves  $C_1/\bar{k}$ ,  $C_2/\bar{k}$  of coprime degree, and this easily implies that  $j(C_1) = j(C_2)$ . In particular, the Jacobians  $J(C_1)$  and  $J(C_2)$  are isogenous elliptic curves with the same  $j$ -invariant and without complex multiplication. This implies that  $J(C_1)$  and  $J(C_2)$  are isomorphic over  $k$ : indeed, let  $\iota : J(C_1) \rightarrow J(C_2)$  be any isogeny. Then,  $\iota/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  must have Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n$ , so that  $J(C_2) = J(C_1)/\ker(\iota) = J(C_1)/J(C_1)[n] \cong J(C_1)$ .

The end of the proof is the same as in the first case of the theorem: since the period is 1, 2, 3, 4, or 6, we may conclude  $C_1 \cong C_2$ .

Remark: The proof uses the finiteness of  $J(C)(k)$  in two places: in order to get around the nondefinability of  $k$  in  $K$ , but also to get around the fact that whereas over an algebraically closed field, an arbitrary finite morphism of elliptic curves  $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  can be factored as  $\iota \circ \tau$ , over an arbitrary field we can only claim the factorization  $\tau \circ \iota$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [Ahmad-Ohm] H. Ahmad and J. Ohm, *Function fields of Pfister neighbors*, J. Algebra 178 (1995), no. 2., 653-664.
- [Amitsur] S. A. Amitsur, *Generic splitting fields of central simple algebras*, Annals of Math. (2) 62 (1955), 8-43.
- [Bombieri-Mumford] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. III*, Invent. Math. 35 (1976), 197-232.
- [Duret] J.-L. Duret, *Equivalence élémentaire et isomorphisme des corps de courbe sur un cors algebriquement clos*, J. Symbolic Logic 57 (1992), 808-923.
- [Grothendieck] A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique* in *Dix exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schemas*, North-Holland, 1968.

- [Hartshorne] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, Springer GTM 52, 1977.
- [Hoffmann] D. Hoffmann. *Isotropy of 5-dimensional quadratic forms over the function field of a quadric*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 58, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995.
- [Iitaka] S. Iitaka. *An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Springer GTM 76, 1982.
- [Jensen-Lenzing] C. U. Jensen and H. Lenzing. *Model-theoretic algebra with particular emphasis on fields, rings and modules*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, 2, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1989.
- [Krashen] D. Krashen. *Severi-Brauer varieties of semidirect product algebras*, Doc. Math. 8 (2003), 527-546.
- [Lam] T.-Y. Lam. *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, W. A. Benjamin, 1973.
- [Manin] Yu. I. Manin. *Cubic Forms. Algebra, geometry, arithmetic*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [Nishimura] H. Nishimura. *Some remarks on rational points*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser A. Math. 29 (1955), 189-192.
- [Ohm] J. Ohm. *The Zariski problem for function fields of quadratic forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 6., 1649-1685.
- [Pierce] D. Pierce *Function fields and elementary equivalence*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), 431-440.
- [Pop] F. Pop. *Elementary equivalence versus isomorphism*, Invent. Math. 150 (2002), no. 2, 385-408.
- [Roquette] P. Roquette. *Isomorphisms of generic splitting fields of simple algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 214/215 (1964), 207-226.
- [Scharlau] W. Scharlau. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren 270, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [Silverman] J. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [Witt] E. Witt. *Über ein Gegenspiel zum Normensatz*, Math. Z. 39 (1934), 462-467.